

Coronavirus: How ESG scores signalled resilience in the Q1 market downturn



Thomas Roulland, CFA, FRM, CIPM
Head of Solutions, Models and Tools



Yo Takatsuki
Head of ESG Research and Active Ownership

Executive summary

- Companies with the highest ESG ratings have proven more resilient in the coronavirus market crash than those with the lowest, AXA IM analysis of stock and bond market in Q1 2020 shows.
- In equities, stocks in the ESG Leaders category outperformed those in ESG Laggards by 16.8 percentage points in Q1.
- In fixed income, bonds in the ESG Leaders category outperformed those in ESG Laggards by 5.2 percentage points in the same period.
- In both asset classes, the ESG Leaders also outperformed its parent benchmark index.
- In a separate analysis, we looked at the impact of our firm-wide investment exclusion policies. We show that a portfolio of stocks which apply our exclusion lists outperformed the parent benchmark index by 47 basis points.

The growth of responsible investment over the past decade has largely coincided with the longest stock market bull-run in history. This has meant that we have not been able to observe how companies with different environmental, social and governance (ESG) ratings perform in a severe market downturn.

The coronavirus pandemic, which originated in Wuhan, China in late 2019, has resulted in a severe and rapid stock market decline. Some of the world's major equity indices, such as the S&P 500 and FTSE 100, have experienced their worst quarter since 1987. The MSCI All Country World Index (MSCI ACWI), an index of equities from developed and emerging markets, fell by a third from its peak in early February to its quarterly low in late March¹.

At the end of the first quarter, we conducted an analysis of how companies with different ESG ratings performed in the bear market for stocks. We also conducted the same analysis for the bond market. We observed that in both asset classes, investments with higher ESG ratings – according to AXA Investment Managers' in-house ESG quantitative scoring methodology² – performed notably better and were more resilient in the quarter compared to investments with lower ESG ratings.

¹ MSCI ACWI – Q1 peak on 12 Feb 2020 to trough on 23 Mar 2020

² For details of AXA IM's ESG quantitative scoring methodology:
<https://www.axa-im.com/responsible-investing/framework-and-scoring-methodology>

Equity market

Stocks in the ESG Leaders category outperformed those in ESG Laggards by 16.8 percentage points in Q1..

For this analysis, we used the MSCI ACWI³ as the base index, and used it to create two sub-groups:


- Stocks with the highest ESG rating (scoring 8 or higher on a 10 point scale) – we dub these the ESG Leaders.
- Stocks with the lowest ESG rating (scoring 2 or lower a 10 point scale) – we dub these the ESG Laggards.

We created two market-capitalization weighted portfolios. The results for Q1 performance were as follows:

Period	MSCI ACWI index	ESG Laggards (score 0-2)	ESG Leaders (score 8-10)	ESG Leaders vs Laggards excess return
31/12/2019 ~ 31/03/2020	-19.6%	-31.3%	-14.5%	16.8%

The below shows the cumulative performance between the two portfolios.

**Cumulative equity market performance Q1 2020:
ESG Leaders vs ESG Laggards**



When we delved into performance at the sector-level, we observed that Healthcare, Financials and Utilities had the most marked differences in returns between ESG Leaders versus Laggards in Q1 2020.

We also conducted a risk analysis and found that the annualised volatility for the parent index, for the ESG Leaders and the ESG Laggards was largely in the same range.

³ MSCI All Country World Index, net return, in euro currency unhedged.

Corporate bond market


Bonds in the ESG Leaders category outperformed those in ESG Laggards by 5.2 percentage points in the same period.

We conducted the same analysis for the corporate bond market. We used Bloomberg Barclays Global Corporate Aggregate Bond Index⁴ as the base index.

We created two issuance-weighted bond portfolios. The results for Q1 total return analysis is as follows:

Period	Bloomberg Barclays Global Corporate Agg	ESG Laggards (Score 0-2)	ESG Leaders (Score 8-10)	ESG Leaders vs Laggards excess return
31/12/2019 ~ 31/03/2020	-3.2%	-7.0%	-1.8%	5.2%

The chart below shows the cumulative total return between the two portfolios.



When we delved into performance at the sector-level, we found that all of the difference in total return could be attributed to corporate bonds from industrial issuers (corporate issuers excluding utilities and financial institutions). This sector group accounts for more than half of the index weighting. Also, ESG Leaders had lower volatility than the ESG Laggards.

⁴ Bloomberg Barclays Global Corporate Aggregate bond index, total return, in euro currency unhedged.

AXA IM Exclusion Policies and Standards – Q1 Update

Earlier this year, we published an analysis of how our various exclusion policies and ESG investment standards at AXA IM had affected our investment returns⁵ over time. We re-ran the same analysis for Q1 2020. The results showed that our exclusion policies and ESG standards added to investment outperformance. The results were as follows:

	Universe excluded (%)	Return (%)	Excess return (%)	Tracking error (%)
MSCI All Country World Index	n/a	-19.55	n/a	n/a
MSCI ACWI Ex. sectorial policies	2.20	-19.40	0.15	0.28
MSCI ACWI Ex. ESG standards policies	3.35	-19.24	0.31	0.33
MSCI ACWI Ex. AXA IM exclusion policies	5.36	-19.08	0.47	0.51

We are very conscious that ESG scores cannot tell the whole story in what has been a dramatic time for the global economy. However, our initial analysis seems to show clearly that good ESG scores can be a signal of quality and resilience in tumultuous stock and bond markets. This would suggest that a portfolio skewed to higher ESG scores would offer a more defensive positioning for times of market stress. Further analysis will reveal whether ESG scoring offers similar results as the hoped-for recovery emerges.

⁵ “How responsible investing standards and policies affect returns” – Mar 2020, AXA Investment Managers.

Not for Retail distribution: This document is intended exclusively for Professional, Institutional, Qualified or Wholesale Clients / Investors only, as defined by applicable local laws and regulation. Circulation must be restricted accordingly.

This document is for informational purposes only and does not constitute investment research or financial analysis relating to transactions in financial instruments as per MIF Directive (2014/65/EU), nor does it constitute on the part of AXA Investment Managers or its affiliated companies an offer to buy or sell any investments, products or services, and should not be considered as solicitation or investment, legal or tax advice, a recommendation for an investment strategy or a personalized recommendation to buy or sell securities.

Due to its simplification, this document is partial and opinions, estimates and forecasts herein are subjective and subject to change without notice. There is no guarantee forecasts made will come to pass. Data, figures, declarations, analysis, predictions and other information in this document is provided based on our state of knowledge at the time of creation of this document. Whilst every care is taken, no representation or warranty (including liability towards third parties), express or implied, is made as to the accuracy, reliability or completeness of the information contained herein. Reliance upon information in this material is at the sole discretion of the recipient. This material does not contain sufficient information to support an investment decision.

Neither MSCI nor any other party involved in or related to compiling, computing or creating the MSCI data makes any express or implied warranties or representations with respect to such data (or the results to be obtained by the use thereof), and all such parties hereby expressly disclaim all warranties of originality, accuracy, completeness, merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose with respect to any of such data. Without limiting any of the foregoing, in no event shall MSCI, any of its affiliates or any third party involved in or related to compiling, computing or creating the data have any liability for any direct, indirect, special, punitive, consequential or any other damages (including lost profits) even if notified of the possibility of such damages. No further distribution or dissemination of the MSCI data is permitted without MSCI’s express written consent.

Issued in the UK by AXA Investment Managers UK Limited, which is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority in the UK. Registered in England and Wales No: 01431068. Registered Office: 7 Newgate Street, London EC1A 7NX.

In other jurisdictions, this document is issued by AXA Investment Managers SA’s affiliates in those countries.